

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/897,647 | 06/29/2001 | Alan Chris Berkema | 10016786-1 | 9755 | |
| 75 | 90 12/14/2005 | | EXAM | INER | |
| HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY | | | POON, KING Y | | |
| Intellectual Prop P.O. Box 27240 | perty Administration | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| Fort Collins, Co | - | | 2624 DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005 | | |
| | | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | , | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| · Advisory Action | 09/897,647 | BERKEMA ET AL. | | | | | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | King Y. Poon | 2624 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 05 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: | owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl | ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the period for reply expires | | - final rejection, which are | aria latar In na | | | | |
| | b) 🔀 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for | | | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | | | the issues for | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | jected ciaims. | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | | (| | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate | , timely filed amendm | ent canceling | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ w vided below or appended. | ill be entered and an | explanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>7</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7-21 and 23-35</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to conshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessariated. 10. The affidavit and the additional transfer and tran | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a (1). | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after ϵ | entry is below or attac | :hed. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | it does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | ince because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s) | | | | | |

KING Y. POON PRIMARY EXAMINER

13. Other: ____.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner assuming that claim 7 is missing "content provider" in line 2 because: 1) the first three line of claim 7 is the same as claim 1 except that "content provider" is missing; 2) claim 7 line 2 is claiming ... of a to a print device. Claims 7 can not be properly interpreted unless the examiner is assuming "content provider" or something is missing. Since applicant points out that claim 7 is properly written; the examiner do not understand what the applicant trying to claim by claiming a method of serving a print by reference operation to print referenced content from a referenced location of a (?) to a print device. Therefore, claim 7 remains objected. There is no reason why a content provider, a web site, a stock market content provider, etc, can not be a computer or a server computer.